

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FI                   | LING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/722,553        | 11/28/2003           |              | Hajime Nakagawa      | FS-F03214-01            | 8306             |  |
| 37398             | 7590                 | 05/09/2006   |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| TAIYO CO          |                      | <del>-</del> | CHEA, THORL          |                         |                  |  |
| 401 HOLLA<br>#407 | ND LANI              | Ë.           | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |  |
| ALEXANDI          | ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |              |                      |                         | 1752             |  |
|                   |                      |              |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Assis a Commence   | 10/722,553  | NAKAGAWA ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Thorl Chea  | 1752   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address -  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju  | ly 2005.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This  | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   | x parto gadylo, 1000 C.B. 11, 10  | 0.0.210.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.   | un from consideration   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li><li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ul>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.   | <u> </u>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner  | r.  | ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   |   | ` '  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>   | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  | (PT∩.413)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (FT0-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040401; 20051215.</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   | te atent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application No. 10/722,553

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: IDS dated 20050824;20050706; 20031128.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. This office action is responsive to the communication on February 10, 2006; claims 1-27 are pending in this instant application.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 13-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tsukada et al (US Patent No. 2002/0058220). The Tsukada et al as a whole especially the polymer on page 7, P-15 wherein y = 33, z = 7 and Tg = 7 °C; page 8 [0050] to [0051] and page 9, [0052] to [0057] which discloses the polymer having particle size not exceeding 500 nm and prepared in presence of photoinitiator of 0.05 to 5 % by weight of the monomer. The polymer P-15 is within the scope of the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as claimed lacks novelty. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the polymer

Art Unit: 1752

disclosed in Tsukada et al with an expectation of achieving a material with good storage stability and good coating property.

6. Claims 1-2, 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsukada et al (US Patent No. 2002/0058220).

Tsukada et al discloses a photothermographic material substantially as claimed. See Tsukada et al as a whole especially the polymer P-15 on page 7, the reducing agent on page 10, [0073]; organic silver salt including silver behenate on page 23, [0128]; the toning agent on page 29, [0207]; the antihalation dye such as cupper phthalocyanine dye on page 30, 0217], the contrast increasing agent such as hydrazine on page 27, [0186] and silver halide on page 27, [0191]. See also page 39, claim 2 wherein the binder has glass transition temperature from –20 to 80 °C.

Tsukada et al discloses a photothermographic material containing silver halide, silver salt of an organic acid, a reducing agent and the polymer P-15 wherein y = 33, z = 7 and Tg = 7 °C. The development accelerator may not specifically state therein, but it has been known in the art that the toning agent has been known as development accelerator. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the at the time the invention was made provide a photothermographic material containing the binder of formula P-15 in combination with the use of toning agent in the photothermographic material taught in Tsukada et al achieving a material with good storage stability and good coating property. The content of silver behenate in claim 4-5 is within the silver behenate disclosed on page 23, [0128]

7. Claims 2, 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsukada et al (US Patent No. 2002/0058220) as applied to claims 1, 4-12 above, and further in view of Oya et al (US 2002/0098449). The hydrazine and phenol compound in claims 2-3 is known in

Page 4

Oya et al (US 2002/0098449) and Ohzeki (US 2002/0197570) as development accelerator. See '449 pages 89, claim 1, page 91, claim 7; and page 57, [0047]. It would have been obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the hydrazine and phenol compound taught in Oya et al in the material of Tsukada et al (US Patent No. 2002/0058220) to achieve a material with good photographic property including sensitivity, fog and color tone, and thereby provide a material as claimed.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed February 10, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reason set forth in the above rejection. The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 on February 10, 2006 has been considered, but fails to overcome the rejection set forth above since it is irrelevant to Tsukada et al (US Patent No. 2002/0058220). Moreover, "(E)vidence of secondary considerations, such as unexpected results or commercial success, is irrelevant to 35 U.S.C 102 rejections and thus cannot overcome a rejection so based. In re Wiggins, 488 F.2d 538, 543, 179 USPQ 421, 425 (CCPA 1973).

#### Conclusion

- 9. Cited of interest: Arai (US Patent No. 6,013,410) discloses polymer latex having the scope of the claimed invention using binder in a photographic silver halide material. See column 7, formula (2) and compound PS-1 to PS-3.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thorl Chea whose telephone number is (571) 272-1328. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM-5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,553

Art Unit: 1752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly can be reached on (571)272-1526. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tchea H September 29, 2005 Thorl Chea Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752 Page 5